

Max-Planck-Institut für Radioastronomie





# Strong-Field Gravity tests with binary pulsars

Constrains on gravitational dipolar radiation with PSR J1738+0333

#### John Antoniadis<sup>,</sup>

P. Freire<sup>1</sup>, N. Wex<sup>1</sup>, K. Lazaridis<sup>1</sup> M. van Kerkwijk<sup>2</sup>, G. Esposito-Farese<sup>5</sup>, M. Kramer<sup>1</sup>, J. Verbiest<sup>1</sup>, M. Bailes<sup>4</sup>, B. Jacoby<sup>4</sup>, M. Tauris<sup>3</sup>, D. Koester<sup>6</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Max-Planck-Institute for Radioastronomy, Bonn, Germany
<sup>2</sup>University of Toronto, Canada
<sup>3</sup>Argelander Institute for Astronomy, Bonn, Germany
<sup>4</sup>Center for Astrophysics and Supercomputing, Swinburne, Australia
<sup>5</sup>GReCO, Institut d'Astrophysique de Paris, France
<sup>6</sup>Institute for Theoretical Physics and Astrophysics, Kiel, Germany

# Outline

Introduction	GR and the ''need'' for alternatives Scalar-Tensor Theories Timing of binary pulsars
The JI738+0333 system	Characteristics Constrains on dipolar radiation Constrains on TeVeS theories?
Future prospects	Surveys for new pulsars Advances in instrumentation New systems?

#### GR vs not GR...

GR has passed all tests so far with flying colors!

\*Very well tested in the solar system (e.g. Mercury perihelion advance, light deflection, Gravity Probe B, LLR...)

\*But...not well tested in the strong-field regime



## GR vs not GR...

#### GR has passed all tests so far with flying colors!

\*Very well tested in the solar system (e.g. Mercury perihelion advance, light deflection, Gravity Probe B, LLR...)

\*But...not well tested in the strong-field regime

Evidence for breakdown?

\*Dark matter - dark energy problem

\*inconsistent with modern theories (e.g. super-strings)







#### Alternative theories

Scalar tensor theories are considered as the most well-founded possible alternatives

#### Alternative theories

Scalar tensor theories are considered as the most well-founded possible alternatives

$$S = \frac{c^2}{16\pi G} \int d^4x \sqrt{-g} R + S_{\substack{\text{standard}\\\text{model}}} \begin{bmatrix} \text{all matter} \\ \text{fields} \end{bmatrix} g_{\mu\nu}$$

#### Alternative theories

Scalar tensor theories are considered as the most well-founded possible alternatives

$$S = \frac{c^2}{16\pi G} \int d^4x \sqrt{-g} R + S_{\substack{\text{standard}\\\text{model}}} \begin{bmatrix} \text{all matter} \\ \text{fields} \end{bmatrix} g_{\mu\nu}$$

$$S = \frac{c^3}{16\pi G} \int d^4x \sqrt{-g^*} \left( R^* - 2g_*^{\mu\nu} \partial_\mu \varphi \partial_\nu \varphi \right) + S_{\text{matter}} \left[ \text{matter}; g_{\mu\nu} \equiv A^2(\varphi) g_{\mu\nu}^* \right]$$

#### Alternative theories

If the mass of the scalar field is small enough it could pass the solar system tests.

For Neutron-stars it could still be energetically favorable to become scalarized



The Pulsar Phenomenon

#### The Pulsar Phenomenon



#### The Pulsar Phenomenon







#### The Pulsar Phenomenon









Orbital Period, P₅ Eccentricity, e Inclination, *i* Epoch of periastron, *To* Longitude of periastron, **ω** Longitude of ascension, **Ω** Projected semi-major axis, x



Projected semi-major axis, x

Spin precession

Example: Orbital decay due to the emission of gravitational waves

Example: Orbital decay due to the emission of gravitational waves

GR: Emission of quadropolar gravitational radiation

#### Example: Orbital decay due to the emission of gravitational waves

GR: Emission of quadropolar gravitational radiation



Example: Orbital decay due to the emission of gravitational waves

GR: Emission of quadropolar gravitational radiation

Scalar fields: + Dipolar radiation



$$\dot{P}_{\rm b}^{\rm dipole} \simeq -\frac{4\pi^2 G_*}{c^3 P_{\rm b}} \frac{m_{\rm p} m_{\rm c}}{m_{\rm p} + m_{\rm c}} (\alpha_{\rm p} - \alpha_{\rm c})^2$$

Example: Orbital decay due to the emission of gravitational waves

GR: Emission of quadropolar gravitational radiation

Scalar fields: + Dipolar radiation

 $\dot{P}_b{}^{GW} = \dot{P}_b{}^{GR} = -\frac{192\,\pi}{5} \left(\frac{2\pi}{P_b}\right)^{5/3} \frac{(T_\odot m_c)^{5/3} q}{(q+1)^{1/3}}$ Cumulative shift of periastron time (s) -10-15-20General Relativity prediction -25 -30-35 1995 2000 Weisberg & Taylor 2003



Measurable only in close PSR+WD binaries

Example: Orbital decay due to the emission of gravitational waves 5.86 ms PSR + white dwarf binary, 8.15 h highly circular orbit

Observed for 7 years with Arecibo

Measured orbital decay ,  $\dot{P}_{\text{b}} =$  -27.9±3.8 fs/s









Companion's mass,  $M_{\rm WD}$  (M<sub> $\odot$ </sub>, spectroscopy) ... 0.181<sup>+0.007</sup><sub>-0.005</sub>







Antoniadis et al. in preperation

Antoniadis et al. in preperation





$$\dot{P}_{b}^{\text{QGW}} = -\frac{192 \pi}{5} \left( n_{b} T_{\odot} m_{c} \right)^{5/3} \frac{q}{(q+1)^{1/3}} = -27.3(9) \,\text{fs}\,\text{s}^{-1}$$

"Excessive" decay:

$$\dot{P}_b^{\rm xs} = (-0.6 \pm 4.0) \times 10^{-15} {\rm s} {\, {\rm s}}^{-1}$$

"Excessive" decay:

$$\dot{P}_b^{\rm xs} \; = \; (-0.6 \, \pm \, 4.0) \times 10^{-15} {\rm s} \, {\rm s}^{-1}$$

Most constraining limit on scalar-matter coupling strength :

 $\alpha_0^2 < 8.3 \times 10^{-5} (95\% \text{ C. L.})$ 



See Lazaridis et al. (2009) for details

Does the same limit apply to Tensor-Vector-Scalar theories? (relativistic MOND)

If so (Bruneton & Esposito-Farese 2007), the former limit makes these theories ''unnatural''



Does the same limit apply to Tensor-Vector-Scalar theories? (relativistic MOND)

If so (Bruneton & Esposito-Farese 2007), the former limit makes these theories ''unnatural''



# The need for more pulsars..



Pulsar Fast Fourier Transform Spectrometer (PFFTS)



New 7-beam 21-cm primary focus receiver at Effelsberg

Effelsberg (North) + Parkes (South) >1000 expected new discoveries (>150 MSPs)

D. Champion, E. Bahr, C. Ng, M. Kramer et al.



Galactic Longitude



# New instumentation...

#### Instrumentation



Feed design by Sandy Weinreb (JPL)

#### New instumentation...

#### Instrumentation

Being developed:

New 600-3000 MHz ultra-broadband receiver @ Effelsberg Feed design by Sandy Weinreb (JPL) ERC consolidated grand (2.1 ME, Paulo Freire) Whole bandwidth coherently dedispersed!

> Higher S/N ISM effects removal



Feed design by Sandy Weinreb (JPL)

#### New discoveries

#### New PSR+WD in a 2-hour orbit

Preliminary results show that there is a high chance for the NS to be above 2.2 solar masses



If so ~20 times more luminous in dipolar radiation than J1738

Ongoing observations with Arecibo (Lynch et al.)

Observations with VLT scheduled for this December (Antoniadis et al.)

#### New discoveries

#### New PSR+WD in a 2-hour orbit

Preliminary results show that there is a high chance for the NS to be above 2.2 solar masses



# **THANK YOU**



$$\gamma^{PPN} - 1 = -2\frac{\alpha_0^2}{1 + \alpha_0^2}$$
$$\beta^{PPN} - 1 = \frac{\beta_0 \alpha_0^2}{2(1 + \alpha_0^2)^2}$$



Timing parameters		
Reference Time (MJD)	54600.000177627541568	
Right Ascension, a (J2000)	17 <sup>h</sup> 38 <sup>m</sup> 53.9658433(6)	
Declination, $\delta$ (J2000)	03° 33' 10!'86698(3)	
Proper Motion in $\alpha$ , $\mu_{\alpha}$ (mas yr <sup>-1</sup> )	+7.058(5)	
Proper Motion in $\delta$ , $\mu_{\delta}$ (mas yr <sup>-1</sup> )	+5.176(10)	
Parallax, $\pi_x$ (mas)	0.60(4)	
Spin Frequency, v (Hz)	170.93736991146355(5)	
First Derivative of $\nu$ , $\dot{\nu}$ (10 <sup>-15</sup> Hz s <sup>-1</sup> )	-7.047742(11)	
Dispersion Measure, DM (cm <sup>-3</sup> pc)	33.77261(3)	
Orbital Period Pb (days)	0.3547907398686(19)	
Projected Semi-Major Axis, x (lt-s)	0.343429121(17)	
Time of Ascending Node, Tasc (MJD)	54600.200400109(4)	
$\eta \equiv e \sin \omega$	$(-0.3 \pm 1.1) \times 10^{-7}$	
$\kappa \equiv e \cos \omega$	$(3.5 \pm 1.1) \times 10^{-7}$	
First Derivative of P <sub>b</sub> , P <sub>b</sub> (10 <sup>-15</sup> s s <sup>-1</sup> )	-17.7(3.8)	
"range" parameter of Shapiro delay <sup>b</sup> , $r(M_{\odot})$	0.181	
"shape" parameter of Sapiro delay <sup>b</sup> , $s \equiv \sin i$	0.5388	

Limits (not fitted with other timing parameters)

Orthometric Amplitude of the Shapiro Delay, $h_3$ (µs)	0.014(14)
Amplitude of fourth harmonic, h4 (µs)	0.031(18)
Second Derivative of $\nu$ , $\ddot{\nu}$ (10 <sup>-28</sup> Hz s <sup>-2</sup> )	-1.3(6)

#### **Derived Parameters**

Galactic Longitude, l	27?7213
Galactic Latitude, b	17?7422
Distance, d (kpc)	1.66(12)
Total Proper Motion, $\mu$ (mas yr <sup>-1</sup> )	8.752(7)
Spin Period, P (ms)	5.850095859775700(17)
First Derivative of Spin Period, P (10 <sup>-20</sup> ss <sup>-1</sup> )	2.411993(4)
Characteristic Age, $\tau_c$ (10 <sup>9</sup> yr)	3.8
Dipolar Magnetic Flux Density at the Poles, $B_0$ (10 <sup>8</sup> G)	3.8
Mass Function, $f(M_{\odot})$	0.0003455012(12)
Orbital inclination <sup>b</sup> , i (°)	32.6(1.0)
Pulsar Mass <sup>b</sup> , $m_p$ ( $M_{\odot}$ )	$1.46_{-0.04}^{+0.05}$
Total Mass of Binary <sup>b</sup> , $M_t$ ( $M_{\odot}$ )	$1.64_{-0.05}^{+0.06}$
Eccentricity, e	$(3.5 \pm 1.1) \times 10^{-7}$
Apparent $\dot{P}_b$ due to Shklovskii effect, $\dot{P}_b^{Shk}$ (fss <sup>-1</sup> )	9.5(7) <sup>a</sup>
Apparent $\dot{P}_b$ due to Galactic acceleration, $\dot{P}_b^{Gal}$ (fss <sup>-1</sup> )	0.73(17) <sup>a</sup>
Intrinsic $\dot{P}_b$ , $\dot{P}_b^{Int}$ (fs s <sup>-1</sup> )	$-27.9(3.8)^{a}$
Predicted $\dot{P}_b$ , $\dot{P}_b^{QGW}$ (fss <sup>-1</sup> )	$-27.3(9)^{b}$
"Excess" orbital decay, $\dot{P}_b^{xs} = \dot{P}_b^{Int} - \dot{P}_b^{QGW} (\text{fs}\text{s}^{-1}) \dots$	$-0.6(4.0)^{a,b}$