A Statistical Study of ULF Wave events observed by the CHAMP satellite

C. Papadimitriou^{1,2}, G. Balasis¹, S. A. Giamini^{1,3}, M. Georgiou^{1,2}, I. A. Daglis^{2,1}

1: National Observatory of Athens – Institute of Astronomy, Astrophysics, Space Applications & Remote Sensing

2: University of Athens – Department of Astrophysics, Astronomy & Mechanics

3: National Centre for Scientific Research DEMOKRITOS - Institute for Advanced Materials,

Physicochemical Processes, Nanotechnology & Microsystems









ULF Waves



	Continuous pulsations					Irregular pulsations	
	Pc 1	Pc 2	Pc 3	Pc 4	Pc 5	Pi 1	Pi 2
Г [s]	0.2-5	5-10	10-45	45- 150	150- 600	1-40	40- 150
f	0.2-5 Hz	0.1- 0.2 Hz	22- 100 mHz	7-22 mHz	2-7 mHz	0.025- 1 Hz	2-25 mHz

Pulsation classes

Compressional Pc 3daysideupstreamrelate to wave-particle interaction in the foreshock and shock

Toroidal Pc 3 or multi-harmonicsdaysideupstreamfield line resonance harmonics in Pc 3 / Pc 4 range, compressional Pc3 as a driver (coupling with the fundamental toroidal mode)

http://magbase.rssi.ru/REFMAN/SPPHTEXT/ulf.html





CHAMP (CHAllenging Minisatellite Payload)



Launch:

July 15, 2000

Design Lifetime: 5 years

End of Mission: September 19 2010

(ten years, two month, four days) (58277 orbits)

Orbit Characteristics:

Low Earth Orbit (initial altitude of 454 km)

Almost circular

- Near polar (87° inclination)
- > Approx. 45' per Orbit





Fluxgate Magnetometer

Digital Ion Drift Meter



Range	±65 000 nT		
Resolution	10 pT		
Deviation from linearity	±100 pT		
Noise level	< 100 pT (rms)		
Sample rate	50 Hz (nominal), 10 Hz, 1 Hz		



Range of ion density	10 ⁸ - 10 ¹² ions/m ³
Range of ion temperature	200 - 55 000 K
Range of drift velocity	0 - 6 km/s
Resolution of ion velocity	< 1° direction, < 130 m/s speed
Sample rates DM mode RPA mode PLP mode	0, 1, 2, 4, 8, 16 HZ 0, 8, 16 Hz 0, 1/15 Hz





Wavelets







The Time-Frequency Analysis Tool

- Visualization and Study of Wave phenomena
- Data Input in a Track by Track basis
- 3 Panels
 - Filtered (external) Field
 - Wavelet Power
 Spectrum in the selected
 Pc range
 - Ion Density Data
 - Positional Information (geographic, magnetic or other coordinate systems)







TFA: 3-Column Case

ESA's SWARM Mission



http://proteus.space.noa.gr/~ulf_wave/









Balasis et al. ULFwave activity during the 2003 Halloween superstorm: multipoint observations fromCHAMP, Cluster and Geotail missions,Ann. Geophys., 30, 1751-1768, doi:10.5194/angeo-30-1751-2012





Automatic Event Detection

- Perform the Wavelet Analysis according to the current methodology
- Scan the wavelet spectrum along the temporal dimension
- Find consecutive times for which the wavelet power exceeds a certain threshold
- Mark these intervals as "Candidate Events" and extract important parameters
- Using the Classification Schema, examine if the Candidate passes all required tests to be marked as an actual Event
- In case of failure, identify the Candidate as a False Positive or Non-Event
- Plot the Track with the appropriate flags, classify it and save relevant statistics.

- Onset
- Duration
- Max Amplitude of the Filtered Signal
- Number of Points above a Threshold
- Peak Frequency
- Peak Frequency Power
- Time of Peak Frequency
- First Derivative of Wavelet Power at the Highest Frequency
- Frequency Range
- Total Wavelet Power
- Average Wavelet Power
- Signal Roughness
- Ion Density Roughness
- Magnetic Latitude
- Magnetic Local Time



<u>11th Hel.A.S Conference</u> Athens, 8-12 September 2013



Classification Schema

- Input: Candidate Segments, namely parts of the Track that exhibit activity greater than that attributed to background noise
- Criteria
- First time derivative of Wavelet Power at a frequency of 250 mHz
- Duration
- %of segment points that have values higher than the threshold
- Time series roughness (average absolute value of the second derivative at local extrema)
- Distance of maximum from mean, measured in standard deviations
- Roughness of the Ion
 Density time series







Example Case: Wave Events







Example Cases: False Positives (spike & filter error)







Example Case: Non – Event (background noise)







Dataset Description



- 1 Hz magnetic field data (scalar)
- 15 sec ion density data
- □ Time span: 2003 2005
- > 33,000 Tracks
- □ Limited to [-55, +55] Mag. Lat.
- 11,461 Wave Events Detected
- Additional Data: OmniWeb Service <u>http://omniweb.gsfc.nasa.gov/</u>





















11th Hel.A.S Conference Athens, 8-12 September 2013







<u>11th Hel.A.S Conference</u> Athens, 8-12 September 2013





Day - Night variations in magnetic position of wave events













Distributions for the values of Geomagnetic Indices







Wave Occurrence rates with respect to the values of various Indices







Wave Occurrence rates with respect to Solar Wind Parameters







Time Shifted Correlation Coefficient of Wave Power Series vs Dst Index Series







Distribution of Correlation Values for Shuffled Series (Wave Event Series vs Dst)







Time Shifted Correlation Coefficient of Wave Power Series vs Ap Index Series







Wave Occurrence rates with respect to the values of various Indices





Dst Values shifted by - 7 Hrs!



Wave Occurrence rates with respect to the values of various Indices



<u>11th Hel.A.S Conference</u> Athens, 8-12 September 2013



Conclusions and Future Work

✓ Statistics of Pc3 ULF Waves for a 3 year period (location, physical properties). Previous studies extend only up to a few months.

Correlations with geomagnetic conditions

✓ Correlations with solar wind parameters

Future Work:

Further Classification of waves according to their power or physical characteristics.

ULF & VLF Wave Role in Relation to energetic particles (energization / losses)



