Observational constrains on the physical conditions of SMBH growth

Antonis Georgakakis Max-Planck-Institut für Exrtaterrestrische Physik National Observatory of Athens

The physics of AGN evolution



- Rapid decline since $z\sim 1-2$
- Broad plateau at z~2-4
- What is the physical process driving this evolution?
 - Smaller BHs at lower redshift
 - Decreasing accretion rate
 - Decline of gaseous mergers
 - Different accretion modes at different epochs

The physics of AGN evolution



- Rapid decline since $z\sim 1-2$
- Broad plateau at z~2-4
- What is the physical process driving this evolution?
 - Smaller BHs at lower redshift
 - Decreasing accretion rate
 - Decline of gaseous mergers
 - Different accretion modes at different epochs

Black hole fuelling modes at z=0



Kauffmann & Heckman 2009

Black hole fuelling modes to z=1



AGN hosts on the main sequence of SF galaxies





Santini+09

Rosario+11, Rosario+13, Mullaney+12

UVJ diagram



Multi-wavelength surveys

- AEGIS-XD, CDFS-4Ms C-COSMOS:
- ✓ Chandra X-ray
- ✓ Optical/near-IR SEDs
- ✓ Large spec-z surveys
- ✓ HST (morphology)
- ✓ Spitzer mid/far-IR
- ✓ Herschel far-IR
- ✓ Sub-mm
- ✓ Radio (1.4GHz)





X-ray data re-analysed in a homogeneous way using methods described in Laird+09

X-ray AGN UVJ diagram

Georgakakis et al., in prep



Blue dots: galaxies Red circles: X-ray AGN Red crosses: BL X-ray AGN

X-ray AGN UVJ diagram

Quiescent AGN hosts: mostly bulges



Star-forming AGN hosts: 50% disks

Eddington ratio distributions



 $M_{\rm BH}$ =0.002* $M_{\rm Bulge}$ (local scaling relation), $M_{\rm bulge}$ ~ $M_{\rm Star}$ for bulges $M_{\rm bulge}$ ~0.5* $M_{\rm Star}$ for disks (i.e. typical for Sbc galaxies)



 $M_{\rm BH}$ =0.002* $M_{\rm Bulge}$ (local scaling relation), $M_{\rm bulge}$ ~ $M_{\rm Star}$ for bulges $M_{\rm bulge}$ ~0.5* $M_{\rm Star}$ for disks (i.e. typical for Sbc galaxies)



 $M_{\rm BH}$ =0.002* $M_{\rm Bulge}$ (local scaling relation), $M_{\rm bulge}$ ~ $M_{\rm Star}$ for bulges $M_{\rm bulge}$ ~0.5* $M_{\rm Star}$ for disks (i.e. typical for Sbc galaxies)

Accretion density split into quiescent and star-forming hosts



Bulk of accretion density associated with star-formation

Quiescent hosts: 15-20% of accretion density independent of redshift

Georgakakis et al., in prep

Summary

- Evidence for two BH accretion modes to $z\sim 1$
 - Star-forming hosts, include 50% disks, dominate at high Eddington ratios
 - Quiescent hosts, bulges, become important at low Eddington ratios.
- 15-20% of the accretion density at z<1 is associated with quiescent host. This fraction does not evolve with redshift

ATHENA + REVEALING THE HOT AND ENERGETIC UNIVERSE

WHERE ARE THE HOT BARYONS AND HOW DO THEY EVOLVE?

HOW DO BLACK HOLES GROW AND SHAPE THE UNIVERSE?

ASTROPHYSICS OF THE HOT AND ENERGETIC UNIVERSE

Europe's next generation X-RAY OBSERVATORY

Cosmic feedback: black hole and galaxy co-evolution

How much black hole accretion occurs in the most obscured environments? How does this relate to the evolution of the host galaxy?



How do black holes grow and shape the Universe?

http://www.the-athena-x-ray-observatory.eu

Accretion density split into quiescent and star-forming hosts



- Bulk of accretion density associated with star-formation
- Quiescent hosts: 15-20% of accretion density independent of redshift

XLF split into quiescent and star-forming hosts



GALFORM Semi-Analytic Model

GALFORM SAM: Bower et al. 2006, Fanidakis et al. 2011



AGN fueling/triggering modes



Disk instabilities Cold gas accretion low mass BHs, high *M*dot spiral morphology young stars low density regions



Radio mode accretion

Hot gas accretion Massive BHs, low *M*dot Massive ellipticals evolved stars high density regions



Major mergers cold gas accretion disturbed morphology ongoing star-formation Moderate density regions