Torsional Modified Gravity and Cosmology

Emmanuel N. Saridakis

Physics Department, Baylor University, Texas, USA

Physics Department, National and Technical University of Athens, Greece

Goal

- We investigate cosmological scenarios in a universe governed by torsional gravity

- Note:

  A consistent or interesting cosmology is not a proof for the consistency of the underlying gravitational theory

Talk Plan

- 1) Introduction: Gravity as a gauge theory, modified Gravity
- 2) Teleparallel Equivalent of General Relativity and f(T) modification
- 3) Perturbations and growth evolution
- 4) Bounce in f(T) cosmology
- 5) Non-minimal scalar-torsion theory
- 6) Black-hole solutions
- 7) Solar system and growth-index constraints
- 8) Conclusions-Prospects

Introduction

- Einstein 1916: **General Relativity**:

  energy-momentum source of spacetime Curvature

  Levi-Civita connection: Zero Torsion

- Einstein 1928: **Teleparallel Equivalent of GR:**

  Weitzenbock connection: Zero Curvature

- **Einstein-Cartan** theory: energy-momentum source of Curvature, spin source of Torsion

  [Hehl, Von Der Heyde, Kerlick, Nester Rev.Mod.Phys.48]

Introduction

- **Gauge Principle**: global symmetries replaced by local ones:

  The group generators give rise to the compensating fields

  It works perfect for the standard model of strong, weak and E/M interactions

$$SU(3)\times SU(2)\times U(1)$$

- Can we apply this to gravity?

Introduction

- Formulating the gauge theory of gravity

  (mainly after 1960):
- Start from Special Relativity

$\Longrightarrow$ Apply (Weyl-Yang-Mills) gauge principle to its Poincaré-group symmetries

$\Longrightarrow$ Get Poinaré gauge theory:

Both curvature and torsion appear as field strengths

- Torsion is the field strength of the translational group

(Teleparallel and Einstein-Cartan theories are subcases of Poincaré theory)

Introduction

- One could extend the gravity gauge group (SUSY, conformal, scale, metric affine transformations)

  obtaining SUGRA, conformal, Weyl, metric affine gauge theories of gravity

- In all of them torsion is always related to the gauge structure.
- Thus, a possible way towards gravity quantization would need to bring torsion into gravity description.

Introduction

- 1998: Universe acceleration

  ⇒Thousands of work in Modified Gravity

  (f(R), Gauss-Bonnet, Lovelock, nonminimal scalar coupling, nonminimal derivative coupling, Galileons, Hordenski etc)

  [Copeland, Sami, Tsujikawa Int.J.Mod.Phys.D15], [Nojiri, Odintsov Int.J.Geom.Meth.Mod.Phys. 4]

- Almost all in the curvature-based formulation of gravity

Introduction

- 1998: Universe acceleration

$\Longrightarrow$Thousands of work in Modified Gravity

(f(R), Gauss-Bonnet, Lovelock, nonminimal scalar coupling, nonminimal derivative coupling, Galileons, Hordenski etc)

[Copeland, Sami, Tsujikawa Int.J.Mod.Phys.D15], [Nojiri, Odintsov Int.J.Geom.Meth.Mod.Phys. 4]

- Almost all in the curvature-based formulation of gravity

- So question: Can we modify gravity starting from its torsion-based formulation?

torsion $\Rightarrow$ gauge $? \Rightarrow$ quantization

modification $\Rightarrow$ full theory $? \Rightarrow$ quantization

Teleparallel Equivalent of General Relativity (TEGR)

- Let's start from the simplest tosion-based gravity formulation, namely TEGR:
- Vierbeins e_A^μ : four linearly independent fields in the tangent space

  $$g_{\mu\nu}(x) = \eta_{AB}\, e_\mu^A(x)\, e_\nu^B(x)$$

- Use curvature-less Weitzenböck connection instead of torsion-less Levi-Civita one: $\Gamma^{\lambda\{W\}}_{\nu\mu} = e_A^\lambda \partial_\mu e_\nu^A$
- Torsion tensor:

$$T^\lambda_{\mu\nu} = \Gamma^{\lambda\{W\}}_{\nu\mu} - \Gamma^{\lambda\{W\}}_{\mu\nu} = e_A^\lambda\left(\partial_\mu e_\nu^A - \partial_\nu e_\mu^A\right)$$

[Einstein 1928], [Pereira: Introduction to TG]

Teleparallel Equivalent of General Relativity (TEGR)

- Let's start from the simplest tosion-based gravity formulation, namely TEGR:
- Vierbeins e_A^μ : four linearly independent fields in the tangent space

$$g_{\mu\nu}(x) = \eta_{AB}\, e_\mu^A(x)\, e_\nu^B(x)$$

- Use curvature-less Weitzenböck connection instead of torsion-less Levi-Civita one: $\Gamma^{\lambda\{W\}}_{\nu\mu} = e_A^\lambda \partial_\mu e_\nu^A$
- Torsion tensor:

$$T^\lambda_{\mu\nu} = \Gamma^{\lambda\{W\}}_{\nu\mu} - \Gamma^{\lambda\{W\}}_{\mu\nu} = e_A^\lambda\left(\partial_\mu e_\nu^A - \partial_\nu e_\mu^A\right)$$

- Lagrangian (imposing coordinate, Lorentz, parity invariance, and up to 2nd order in torsion tensor)

$$L \equiv T = \frac{1}{4}T^{\rho\mu\nu}T_{\rho\mu\nu} + \frac{1}{2}T^{\rho\mu\nu}T_{\nu\mu\rho} - T_{\rho\mu}^{\rho}T^{\nu\mu}_{\nu}$$

- **Completely equivalent** with GR at the level of equations

[Einstein 1928], [Hayaski,Shirafuji PRD 19], [Pereira: Introduction to TG]

f(T) Gravity and f(T) Cosmology

- f(T) Gravity: Simplest torsion-based modified gravity
- Generalize T to f(T) (inspired by f(R))

$$S = \frac{1}{16\pi G}\int d^4x\, e\,\left[T + f(T)\right] + S_m$$

[Bengochea, Ferraro PRD 79], [Linder PRD 82]

- Equations of motion:

$$e^{-1}\partial_\mu\left(e e_A^\rho S_\rho^{\ \mu\nu}\right)\left(1+f_T\right) - e_A^\lambda T^\rho_{\ \mu\lambda} S_\rho^{\ \nu\mu} + e_A^\rho S_\rho^{\ \mu\nu}\partial_\mu(T) f_{TT} - \frac{1}{4}e_A^\nu\left[T + f(T)\right] = 4\pi G e_A^\rho \mathrm{T}_\rho^{\ \nu\{\mathrm{EM}\}}$$

f(T) Gravity and f(T) Cosmology

- f(T) Gravity: Simplest torsion-based modified gravity
- Generalize T to f(T) (inspired by f(R))

$$S=\frac{1}{16\pi G}\int d^4x\, e\,[T+f(T)]+S_m$$ [Bengochea, Ferraro PRD 79], [Linder PRD 82]

- Equations of motion:

$$e^{-1}\partial_\mu\left(e e_A^\rho S_\rho^{\mu\nu}\right)(1+f_T)-e_A^\lambda T^\rho_{\mu\lambda}S_\rho^{\nu\mu}+e_A^\rho S_\rho^{\mu\nu}\partial_\mu(T)f_{TT}-\frac{1}{4}e_A^\nu[T+f(T)]=4\pi G e_A^\rho \mathrm{T}_\rho^{\nu\{EM\}}$$

- f(T) Cosmology: Apply in FRW geometry:

$$e_\mu^A=diag(1,a,a,a)\ \Rightarrow\ ds^2=dt^2-a^2(t)\delta_{ij}dx^i dx^j$$ (not unique choice)

- Friedmann equations:

$$H^2=\frac{8\pi G}{3}\rho_m-\frac{f(T)}{6}-2f_T H^2$$

$$\dot H=-\frac{4\pi G(\rho_m+p_m)}{1+f_T-12H^2 f_{TT}}$$

- Find easily

$$T=-6H^2$$

f(T) Cosmology: Background

- Effective Dark Energy sector:

$$\rho_{DE} = \frac{3}{8\pi G}\left[-\frac{f}{6}+\frac{T}{3}f_T\right]$$

$$w_{DE} = -\frac{f - Tf_T + 2T^2 f_{TT}}{[1+f_T+2Tf_{TT}][f-2Tf_T]}$$

[Linder PRD 82]

- Interesting cosmological behavior: Acceleration, Inflation etc
- At the background level indistinguishable from other dynamical DE models

f(T) Cosmology: Perturbations

- Can I find imprints of f(T) gravity? Yes, but need to go to perturbation level

$$e_\mu^0 = \delta_\mu^0(1+\psi)\ ,\ e_\mu^\alpha = \delta_\mu^\alpha \alpha(1-\phi) \quad \Rightarrow \quad ds^2 = (1+2\psi)dt^2 - a^2(1-2\phi)\delta_{ij}dx^i dx^j$$

- Obtain Perturbation Equations:

$$L.H.S = R.H.S$$
$$\ldots$$
$$L.H.S = R.H.S$$

[Chen, Dent, Dutta, Saridakis PRD 83],
[Dent, Dutta, Saridakis JCAP 1101]

- Focus on growth of matter overdensity $\delta \equiv \frac{\delta\rho_m}{\rho_m}$ go to Fourier modes:

$$3H\left(1+f_T-12H^2 f_{TT}\right)\dot{\phi}_k + \left[(3H^2+k^2/a^2)(1+f_T)-36H^4 f_{TT}\right]\phi_k + 4\pi G\rho_m\delta_k = 0$$

[Chen, Dent, Dutta, Saridakis PRD 83]

f(T) Cosmology: Perturbations

- Application: Distinguish f(T) from quintessence
- 1) Reconstruct f(T) to coincide with a given **quintessence** scenario:

$$f(H) = 16\pi G H \int \frac{\rho_Q}{H^2} dH \; + CH \quad \text{with} \quad \rho_Q = \dot{\phi}^2/2 + V(\phi) \quad \text{and} \quad H = \sqrt{-T/6}$$

[Dent, Dutta, Saridakis JCAP 1101]





f(T) Cosmology: Perturbations

- Application: Distinguish f(T) from quintessence
- 2) Examine evolution of matter overdensity $\delta \equiv \frac{\delta\rho_m}{\rho_m}$

[Dent, Dutta, Saridakis JCAP 1101]





Bounce and Cyclic behavior

- Contracting ($H<0$), bounce ($H=0$), expanding ($H>0$)
  near and at the bounce $\dot{H}>0$

- Expanding ($H>0$), turnaround ($H=0$), contracting $H<0$
  near and at the turnaround $\dot{H}<0$

Bounce and Cyclic behavior in f(T) cosmology

- Contracting ($H<0$), bounce ($H=0$), expanding ($H>0$)

  near and at the bounce $\dot{H}>0$

- Expanding ($H>0$), turnaround ($H=0$), contracting $H<0$

  near and at the turnaround $\dot{H}<0$

$$H^2=\frac{8\pi G}{3}\rho_m-\frac{f(T)}{6}-2f_T H^2$$

$$\dot{H}=-\frac{4\pi G(\rho_m+p_m)}{1+f_T-12H^2 f_{TT}}$$

- Bounce and cyclicity can be easily obtained

[Cai, Chen, Dent, Dutta, Saridakis CQG 28]

Bounce in f(T) cosmology

- Start with a bouncing scale factor: $a(t) = a_B\left(1+\frac{3}{2}\sigma\, t^2\right)^{1/3}$

$$\Rightarrow t(T) = \pm\left(-\frac{4}{3T}-\frac{2}{3\sigma}+\frac{4\sqrt{T\sigma^3+\sigma^4}}{3T\sigma^2}\right)$$

$$\Rightarrow f(t) = \frac{4t}{(2+3\sigma t^2)M_p^2}\left[\frac{\rho_{mB}}{t}+\frac{6tM_p^2\sigma^2}{2+3\sigma t^2}+\sqrt{6\sigma}\rho_{mB}\ ArcTan\left(\sqrt{\frac{3s}{2}}t\right)\right]$$



Bounce in f(T) cosmology

- Start with a bounching scale factor: $a(t) = a_B\left(1+\frac{3}{2}\sigma\, t^2\right)^{1/3}$

$$\Rightarrow t(T) = \pm\left(-\frac{4}{3T} - \frac{2}{3\sigma} + \frac{4\sqrt{T\sigma^3+\sigma^4}}{3T\sigma^2}\right)$$

$$\Rightarrow f(t) = \frac{4t}{(2+3\sigma t^2)M_p^2}\left[\frac{\rho_{mB}}{t} + \frac{6tM_p^2\sigma^2}{2+3\sigma t^2} + \sqrt{6\sigma}\,\rho_{mB}\; ArcTan\left(\sqrt{\frac{3s}{2}}\,t\right)\right]$$



- Examine the full perturbations:

$$\ddot{\phi}_k + \alpha\dot{\phi}_k + \mu^2\phi_k + c_s^2\frac{k^2}{a^2}\phi_k = 0$$

with α, μ^2, c_s^2 known in terms of $H, \dot{H}, f, f_T, f_{TT}$ and matter

$$\left(\ddot{h}_{ij} + 3H\dot{h}_{ij} - \frac{\nabla^2}{a^2}h_{ij}\right) - \frac{12H\dot{H}f_{TT}}{1+f_T}\dot{h} = 0$$

- $\Rightarrow$ **Primordial** power spectrum: $P_\zeta = \frac{\sigma}{288\pi^2 M_p^2}$
- $\Rightarrow$ **Tensor-to-scalar** ratio: $r \approx 2.8\times10^{-3}$

[Cai, Chen, Dent, Dutta, Saridakis CQG 28]

Non-minimally coupled scalar-torsion theory

- In curvature-based gravity, apart from $R+f(R)$ one can use $R+\xi R\varphi$^2
- Let's do the same in torsion-based gravity:

$$S=\int d^4x\, e\left[\frac{T}{2\kappa^2}+\frac{1}{2}\left(\partial_\mu\varphi\partial^\mu\varphi+\xi T\varphi^2\right)-V(\varphi)+L_m\right]$$

[Geng, Lee, Saridakis, Wu PLB704]

Non-minimally coupled scalar-torsion theory

- In curvature-based gravity, apart from $R+f(R)$ one can use $R+\xi R\varphi$^2
- Let's do the same in torsion-based gravity:

$$S=\int d^4x\, e\left[\frac{T}{2\kappa^2}+\frac{1}{2}\left(\partial_\mu\varphi\partial^\mu\varphi+\xi T\varphi^2\right)-V(\varphi)+L_m\right]$$

[Geng, Lee, Saridakis, Wu PLB704]

- Friedmann equations in FRW universe:

$$H^2=\frac{\kappa^2}{3}(\rho_m+\rho_{DE})$$

$$\dot{H}=-\frac{\kappa^2}{2}(\rho_m+p_m+\rho_{DE}+p_{DE})$$

with effective Dark Energy sector: $\rho_{DE}=\frac{\dot{\varphi}^2}{2}+V(\varphi)-3\xi H^2\varphi^2$

$$p_{DE}=\frac{\dot{\varphi}^2}{2}-V(\varphi)+4\xi H\varphi\dot{\varphi}+\xi\left(3H^2+2\dot{H}\right)\varphi^2$$

- **Different** than non-minimal quintessence!

(no conformal transformation in the present case)

[Geng, Lee, Saridakis,Wu PLB 704]

Non-minimally coupled scalar-torsion theory

- Main advantage: Dark Energy may lie in the phantom regime or/and experience the phantom-divide crossing
- Teleparallel Dark Energy:



[Geng, Lee, Saridakis, Wu PLB 704]

Observational constraints on Teleparallel Dark Energy

- Use observational data (SNIa, BAO, CMB) to constrain the parameters of the theory
- Include matter and standard radiation: $\rho_M = \rho_{M0}/a^3, \rho_r = \rho_{r0}/a^4, 1+z = 1/a$
- We fit $\Omega_{M0}, \Omega_{DE0}, w_{DE0}, \xi$ for various $V(\varphi)$

Observational constraints on Teleparallel Dark Energy



Exponential potential

Quartic potential

[Geng, Lee, Saridkis JCAP 1201]

Phase-space analysis of Teleparallel Dark Energy

- Transform cosmological system to its autonomous form:

$$x=\frac{\kappa\dot{\varphi}}{\sqrt{6}H},\quad y=\frac{\kappa\sqrt{V(\varphi)}}{\sqrt{3}H},\quad z=\sqrt{|\xi|}\kappa\varphi$$

$$\Rightarrow\ \Omega_m\equiv\frac{\rho_m}{3H^2}=1-x^2-y^2+z^2\,\mathrm{sgn}(\xi),\qquad \Omega_{DE}\equiv\frac{\rho_{DE}}{3H^2}=x^2+y^2-z^2\,\mathrm{sgn}(\xi)$$

$$w_{DE}=w_{DE}(x,y,z,\xi)$$

[Xu, Saridakis, Leon, JCAP 1207]

$$\Rightarrow X'=f(X),\qquad X'|_{X=X_C}=0$$

- Linear Perturbations: $X=X_C+U\ \Rightarrow U'=QU$
- Eigenvalues of Q determine type and stability of C.P

Phase-space analysis of Teleparallel Dark Energy

- Apart from usual quintessence points, there exists an extra stable one for $\lambda^2 < \xi$ corresponding to $\Omega_{DE} = 1,\ w_{DE} = -1,\ q = -1$



- At the critical points $w_{DE} \geq -1$ however during the evolution it can lie in quintessence or phantom regimes, or experience the phantom-divide crossing!

[Xu, Saridakis, Leon, JCAP 1207]

Exact charged black hole solutions

- Extend f(T) gravity in D-dimensions (focus on D=3, D=4):

$$S=\frac{1}{2\kappa}\int d^Dx\; e\left[T+f(T)-2\Lambda\right]$$

- Add E/M sector: $L_F=-\frac{1}{2}F\wedge{}^*F$ with $F=dA,\; A\equiv A_\mu dx^\mu$

- Extract **field equations**: $\boxed{L.H.S=R.H.S}$

[Gonzalez, Saridakis, Vasquez, JHEP 1207]

[Capozzielo, Gonzalez, Saridakis, Vasquez, JHEP 1302]

Exact charged black hole solutions

- Extend f(T) gravity in D-dimensions (focus on D=3, D=4):

$$S=\frac{1}{2\kappa}\int d^Dx\; e\left[T+f(T)-2\Lambda\right]$$

- Add E/M sector: $L_F=-\frac{1}{2}F\wedge{}^*F$ with $F=dA,\;\; A\equiv A_\mu dx^\mu$
- Extract **field equations**: $\boxed{L.H.S=R.H.S}$

- Look for spherically symmetric solutions:

$$e^0=F(r)dt,\;\; e^1=\frac{1}{G(r)}dr,\;\; e^2=rdx_1,\;\; ,e^3=rdx_2,\;\; \cdots$$

$$\Rightarrow ds^2=F(r)^2dt^2-\frac{1}{G(r)^2}dr^2-r^2\sum_1^{D-2}dx_i^2$$

- Radial Electric field: $E_r=\frac{Q}{r^{D-2}}$ $\Rightarrow F(r)^2, G(r)^2$ known

[Gonzalez, Saridakis, Vasquez, JHEP 1207], [Capozzielo, Gonzalez, Saridakis, Vasquez, JHEP 1302]

Exact charged black hole solutions

- Horizon and singularity analysis:

- 1) Vierbeins, Weitzenböck connection, Torsion invariants:
  T(r) known $\Rightarrow$ obtain horizons and singularities

- 2) Metric, Levi-Civita connection, Curvature invariants:
  R(r) and Kretschmann $R_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}R^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}(r)$ known
  $\Rightarrow$ obtain horizons and singularities

[Gonzalez, Saridakis, Vasquez, JHEP1207], [Capozzielo, Gonzalez, Saridakis, Vasquez, JHEP 1302]

Exact charged black hole solutions





[Capozzielo, Gonzalez, Saridakis, Vasquez, JHEP 1302]

Exact charged black hole solutions

- More singularities in the curvature analysis than in torsion analysis!

  (some are naked)
- The differences disappear in the f(T)=0 case, or in the uncharged case.
- Should we go to quartic torsion invariants?
- f(T) brings novel features.
- E/M in torsion formulation was known to be nontrivial (E/M in Einstein-Cartan and Poinaré theories)

Solar System constraints on f(T) gravity

- Apply the black hole solutions in Solar System:
- Assume corrections to TEGR of the form $f(T) = \alpha T^2 + O(T^3)$

$$\Rightarrow F(r)^2 = 1 - \frac{2GM}{c^2 r} - \frac{\Lambda}{3} r^2 + \alpha \left[-6\Lambda - \frac{6}{r^2} - \frac{4GM\Lambda}{c^2 r} \right]$$

$$\Rightarrow G(r)^2 = 1 - \frac{2GM}{c^2 r} - \frac{\Lambda}{3} r^2 + \alpha \left[\frac{8\Lambda}{3} - \frac{24}{r^2} - 2\Lambda^2 r^2 - \frac{2GM}{c^2 r} \left(8\Lambda - \frac{8}{r^2} \right) \right]$$

Solar System constraints on f(T) gravity

- Apply the black hole solutions in Solar System:
- Assume corrections to TEGR of the form $f(T)=\alpha T^2+O(T^3)$

$$\Rightarrow F(r)^2=1-\frac{2GM}{c^2 r}-\frac{\Lambda}{3}r^2+\alpha\left[-6\Lambda-\frac{6}{r^2}-\frac{4GM\Lambda}{c^2 r}\right]$$

$$\Rightarrow G(r)^2=1-\frac{2GM}{c^2 r}-\frac{\Lambda}{3}r^2+\alpha\left[\frac{8\Lambda}{3}-\frac{24}{r^2}-2\Lambda^2 r^2-\frac{2GM}{c^2 r}\left(8\Lambda-\frac{8}{r^2}\right)\right]$$

- Use data from Solar System orbital motions:

$$\Delta U_{f(T)}\leq 6.2\times 10^{-10}$$

[Iorio, Saridakis, Mon.Not.Roy.Astron.Soc 427)

T<<1 so consistent

- f(T) divergence from TEGR is very small
- This was already known from cosmological observation constraints up to

$O(10^{-1}-10^{-2})$ [Wu, Yu, PLB 693], [Bengochea PLB 695]

- With Solar System constraints, much more stringent bound.

Growth-index constraints on f(T) gravity

- Perturbations: $\ddot{\delta}_m + 2H\dot{\delta}_m = 4\pi G_{eff}\,\rho_m\delta_m$, clustering growth rate: $\frac{d\ \ln\delta_m}{d\ \ln a} = \Omega_m^{\gamma}(a)$
- γ(z): Growth index. $G_{eff} = \frac{1}{1+f'(T)}$

Growth-index constraints on f(T) gravity

- Perturbations: $\ddot{\delta}_m + 2H\dot{\delta}_m = 4\pi G_{eff}\ \rho_m \delta_m$, clustering growth rate: $\dfrac{d\ \ln \delta_m}{d\ \ln a} = \Omega_m^{\gamma}(a)$
- γ(z): Growth index. $G_{eff} = \dfrac{1}{1+f'(T)}$









- Viable f(T) models are practically indistinguishable from ΛCDM.

[Nesseris, Basilakos, Saridakis, Perivolaropoulos 1308.6142[astro-ph.CO]]

Open issues of f(T) gravity

- f(T) cosmology is very interesting. But f(T) gravity and nonminially coupled teleparallel gravity has many open issues [Li, Sotiriou, Barrow PRD 83a], [Geng,Lee,Saridakis,Wu PLB 704]

- For nonlinear f(T), Lorentz invariance is not satisfied
- Equivalently, the vierbein choices corresponding to the same metric are not equivalent (extra degrees of freedom) [Li,Sotiriou,Barrow PRD 83c], [Li,Miao,Miao JHEP 1107]

Open issues of f(T) gravity

- f(T) cosmology is very interesting. But f(T) gravity and nonminially coupled teleparallel gravity has many open issues [Li, Sotiriou, Barrow PRD 83a], [Geng,Lee,Saridakis,Wu PLB 704]
- For nonlinear f(T), Lorentz invariance is not satisfied
- Equivalently, the vierbein choices corresponding to the same metric are not equivalent (extra degrees of freedom) [Li,Sotiriou,Barrow PRD 83c], [Li,Miao,Miao JHEP 1107]
- Black holes are found to have different behavior through curvature and torsion analysis [Capozzielo, Gonzalez, Saridakis, Vasquez JHEP 1302]
- Thermodynamics also raises issues [Bamba,Geng JCAP 1111], [Miao,Li,Miao JCAP 1111]
- Cosmological, Solar System and Growth Index observations constraint f(T) very close to linear-in-T form

Gravity modification in terms of torsion?

- So can we modify gravity starting from its torsion formulation?
- The simplest, a bit naïve approach, through f(T) gravity is interesting, but has open issues
- Additionally, f(T) gravity is not in correspondence with f(R)
- Even if we find a way to modify gravity in terms of torsion, will it be still in 1-1 correspondence with curvature-based modification?
- What about higher-order corrections, but using torsion invariants (similar to Gauss Bonnet, Lovelock, Hordenski modifications)?
- Can we modify gauge theories of gravity themselves? E.g. can we modify Poincaré gauge theory?

Conclusions

- i) Torsion appears in all approaches to gauge gravity, i.e to the first step of quantization.
- ii) Can we modify gravity based in its torsion formulation?
- iii) Simplest choice: f(T) gravity, i.e extension of TEGR
- iv) f(T) cosmology: Interesting phenomenology. Signatures in growth structure.
- v) We can obtain bouncing solutions
- vi) Non-minimal coupled scalar-torsion theory $T+\xi T\varphi^2$: Quintessence, phantom or crossing behavior.
- vii) Exact black hole solutions. Curvature vs torsion analysis.
- viii) Solar system constraints: f(T) divergence from T less than 10^{-10}
- ix) Growth Index constraints: Viable f(T) models are practically indistinguishable from ΛCDM.
- x) Many open issues. Need to search for other torsion-based modifications too.

Outlook

- Many subjects are open. Amongst them:
- i) Examine thermodynamics thoroughly.
- ii) Extend f(T) gravity in the braneworld.
- iii) Understand the extra degrees of freedom and the extension to non-diagonal vierbeins.
- iv) Try to modify TEGR using higher-order torsion invariants.
- v) Try to modify Poincaré gauge theory (extremely hard!)
- vi) What to quantize? Metric, vierbeins, or connection?
- vii) Convince people to work on the subject!

THANK YOU!