Modeling the X-ray spectrum of AGN with Monte-Carlo simulations: The case of a density gradient

Eva Lefa

Th. Akylas, A. Mastichiadis, A. Georgakakis

HEL.A.S. conference, June 30, 2015

X-rays from AGN

• Intrinsic X-ray spectrum

Power-law X-ray photons

Disk photons up scattered to hard X-rays from energetic particles in hot corona close to Black hole



X-rays from AGN

• Intrinsic X-ray spectrum

Power-law X-ray photons

Disk photons up scattered to hard X-rays from energetic particles in hot corona close to Black hole

- Observed X-ray spectrum
- Intrinsic photons reprocessed at surrounding material Relevant mechanisms:
 - **Compton scattering Photoabsorption**



Studying Obscured AGN spectra

- Large fraction of AGN obscured by Compton thick gas
- Information for the central engine surrounding environment
- Understanding AGN unification scheme-geometry of obscuring material is important – observed spectrum depends on observer viewing angle
- X-ray Background (XRB) intensity composed by AGN X-ray radiation



- Develop a Monte-Carlo code to simulate the X-ray observed spectrum
- Power-law x-ray photons emitted from the center surrounded by obscuring material
- Introduced mechanisms:

Compton scattering on cold electrons

Photoabsorption (+Line emission)

• Apply a **density gradient** in the obscuring material

Comptonization: first principles



Spherical **homogeneous** region containing cold electrons

Photon emitted isotropically from the center

- Multiple scattering \rightarrow diffusion in space \rightarrow random walk Column density : $N_H = nR$
- $N_H > 1/\sigma_T \sim 10^{24} cm^{-2}$ Compton thick source
- $N_H < 10^{25} cm^{-2}$ Emission visible above 10 KeV (mildly Compton thick)

Effect of Comptonization on intrinsic spectrum



,





Cross section decreases \rightarrow flatter spectrum at high energies

Effect of Photoabsorption



Density gradient along polar θ angle

 Previous works include sphere (e.g. Leahy & Creighton 1993, Matt et al. 1999), spherical-toroidal (e.g. Ghisellini et al. 1994, Ikeda et al. 1994), disk-reflection (e.g. Magdziarsk & Zdziarski 1995), torus (e.g. Yaqoob & Murphy 2009)

- Why consider an inhomogeneous obscuring material?
- More realistic motived by HD simulations (e.g. Wada et al. 2012)
- Density gradient can approximate different geometries, e.g. toroidal
- Grad along θ angle due to unification scheme
- Viewing angle severely changes the output spectrum



Density gradient along azimuthial θ angle

MC code: free path L depends on photon position

- Discretize gradient → layers
- **Distance S** of photon to boundary analytically calculated
- L < S the photon interacts and change direction
- L > S the photon is transferred to the boundary

density distribution of surrounding material



Analytical function, e.g. Nenkova et al. 2011

$$\rho_{\theta} = \rho_0 \exp\left[-\left(\frac{\pi}{2} - \theta\right)^2 / \sigma^2\right]$$

 $\sigma = \pi$ / 3: angle below which density drops significantly



HD simulation of torus formation,

Wada 2012



HD simulation of torus formation, *Wada 2012* equivalent N_{μ} =8. 10²³ cm⁻²



y/Ro

- Mimic observational survey on AGN N_H distribution, Ueda 2002, 2009, 2014, discrete gradient
- Number of sources at a specific $N_s \sim \Delta V$ (layer volume) with the same N_{\perp} density distribution





• Following Ueda 2014 - equivalent N_{μ} =5. 10²⁴ cm⁻²



Comparison to homogeneous case?



• Density gradient-analytical profile $\rho_{\theta} = \rho_0 \exp\left[-\left(\frac{\pi}{2} - \theta\right)^2 / \sigma^2\right]$

• Homogeneous sphere-different $N_{_{\rm H}}$

 $N_{H} \sim \rho(\theta) R$

Summary

- Monte-Carlo code developed for simulating the reprocessed X-ray spectrum after Comptonization and Photoabsorption
- Inhomogeneous surrounding environment has been introduced density changes along θ angle

- Inhomogeneous environment: Strong dependence of the output spectrum with the viewing angle
- Different density distribution around the central source (analytical description, HD simulations, observational survey) → Density profile plays important role
- Different surrounding media or different viewing angle? The two models can be distinctive

Emission lines

