

 kapteyn astronomical institute



### Too few and too light? TESTING ACDM COSMOLOGY WITH FIELD DWARF GALAXIES

Manolis Papastergis NOVA postdoctoral fellow Kapteyn Institute, the Netherlands

12<sup>th</sup> Hel.A.S conference

Thessaloniki 29 Jun 2015



MW-sized halo (Lovell+ 2012)





Halo Velocity Function





http://egg.astro.cornell.edu/alfalfa/

• ALFALFA is a blind 21-cm line survey, performed with the Arecibo radiotelescope (Giovanelli+ 2005).



- Largest HI-selected sample to date:
  - > 11 000 galaxies
  - ~ 3 000 deg<sup>2</sup> of sky



http://egg.astro.cornell.edu/alfalfa/



http://egg.astro.cornell.edu/alfalfa/

• ALFALFA directly measures:

redshift



http://egg.astro.cornell.edu/alfalfa/

• ALFALFA directly measures:

▶ redshift

integrated flux (HI mass)



http://egg.astro.cornell.edu/alfalfa/

• ALFALFA directly measures:

redshift

- integrated flux (HI mass)
- velocity width



#### The velocity function of galaxies



(Papastergis+ 2011,2015)

#### Galaxies vs. ACDM halos



### Galaxies vs. ACDM halos



But wait a second... GALAXIES ≠ HALOS







http://egg.astro.cornell.edu/alfalfa/

#### • ALFALFA **cannot** measure:

► size

**b** shape, inclination

rotation curve



(Image from Jones+ 2015)

#### An easy way out?



 $V_{rot} - V_h$  relation in  $\Lambda CDM$ 









#### The field "too big to fail" problem



#### Not the first to notice...



Also for field dwarfs: Ferrero+ 2012, Garrison-Kimmel+ 2014

Milky Way satellites (Boylan-Kolchin+ 2011,2012)

# Any solutions?

#### The root of the problem

1. Large difference between abundance of small halos and dwarf galaxies



2. Impossible to fit dwarf kinematics with massive halos



### Baryonic solutions in ACDM?

#### 1. Reionization feedback



(Sawala+ 2015; also Okamoto+ 2008, etc.)

#### 2. Core creation through starformation feedback



(di Cintio+2015; also Governato+2010, Brooks+Zolotov 2014, Onorbe+2015, etc.)

#### Do baryonic solutions work?

#### 1. Reionization



Sawala+ 2015:





#### Do baryonic solutions work?

#### 2. Reionization + cored profiles





Papastergis+ 2015: (based on hydro sims of Governato+ 2012, Brooks+Zolotov2014, Christensen+ 2014)

Brook+diCintio 2014:

# Conclusions

"Too big to fail" problem: it is challenging to reproduce simultaneously the number density and internal kinematics of dwarf galaxies in ACDM

A solution must have the following characteristics:

- Lower the number of low mass halos
- Lower the velocity in the central parts of small halos

Within ACDM, there exist potential baryonic solutions. However, it is not yet clear whether they work or not

### Ευχαριστώ για την προσοχή σας!





#### The root of the problem

1. Large difference between abundance of small halos and dwarf galaxies



2. Impossible to fit dwarf kinematics with massive halos



#### CDM



(Lovell+ 2012)





(Lovell+ 2012)

#### Warm dark matter?



#### WDM advantages:

1. Fewer lowmass halos

2. Less concentrated halos

#### Warm dark matter?

#### \*\* The WDM particle mass must be $\leq 2 \text{ keV}$ \*\*



#### The velocity widths of ALFALFA galaxies



### The velocity widths of ALFALFA galaxies





### Galaxies vs. ACDM halos



Building a realistic rotation curve:

$$\Omega_{\rm DM} \neq \Omega_{\rm m}$$

- Baryons (stars, gas) contribute to RC
- Adiabatic contraction of halo (?)

(Trujillo-Gomez+ 2011)

#### Observations vs. theory



(Klypin+ 2015)



(Trujillo-Gomez+ 2011)





(Trujillo-Gomez+ 2011)





(Trujillo-Gomez+ 2011)





(Trujillo-Gomez+ 2011)



An easy way out?



(Klypin+ 2015)

# The field "too big to fail" problem in simple terms:

The rotation curves of dwarf galaxies in the field indicate that their host halos are quite ``light'' ( $V_{h,max} \approx 20-40 \text{ km/s}$ ). However, in a CDM universe there are so many halos of this mass that we should be observing many more dwarf galaxies than we are.

Halo Velocity Function



